NAO 247 (02/08) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT AT LYNCHBURG, VA for the Western District of Virginia United States of America Case No: 4:03cr70134-002 ALONZO IRVIN GILES USM No: 10195-084 Date of Previous Judgment: 5/18/05 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of  $\boxtimes$  the defendant  $\square$  the Director of the Bureau of Prisons  $\square$  the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: ☑ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to the last judgment issued) of I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures) Amended Offense Level: Previous Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Criminal History Category: Amended Guideline Range: Previous Guideline Range: months II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. Other (explain): III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Defendant was convicted of conspiracy to distribute cocaine base ("crack") in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846 and at sentencing was held responsible for 4.5 kilograms or more of crack. Although Amendment 706 to the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines reduced the offense levels for most crack convictions, it did not reduce the offense levels for those involving at least 4.5 kilograms of crack. See U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(c). Accordingly, Defendant's guideline range is not changed by the amended guidelines, and he is therefore not eligible for a sentence reduction pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. Down In Order Date: August 4, 2008

Norman K. Moon, United States District Judge

Printed name and title

Effective Date:

(if different from order date)